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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Rule 506(b)** | **Rule 506(c)** |
| **Dollar Limit:** | No limit | No limit |
| **Manner of Offering:** | Limited marketing directly to known investors without “general solicitation” (substantial pre-existing relationship); no internet solicitation (although online intermediaries may be used) | No limitations on solicitation, can be marketed over the internet; TV, advertisements and solicitation on social media permitted |
| **Eligible Issuers:** | Both SEC registered and private companies can use exemption (U.S. and foreign) | Both SEC registered and private companies can use exemption (U.S. and foreign) |
| **Eligible Investors:** | Up to 35 non-accredited investors permitted; no limits on accredited investors | Only accredited investors may buy |
| **Ascertaining Accredited Investor Status:** | Accredited investors typically self certify accredited status through an investor questionnaire | Issuers must take reasonable steps to “verify” accredited status; may use various methods; non-exclusive list of methods that may be relied on as meeting requirements |
| **Filing Requirement:** | File Form D with the SEC not later than 15 days after first sale. | File Form D with the SEC 15 days before use of general solicitation |
| **Restrictions on Resale:** | Restricted securities. | Restricted securities. |
| **Blue Sky Exemption:** | No need to comply with state blue sky laws | No need to comply with state blue sky laws |
| **Limits on Investment Amount:** | None | None |
| **Types of Security:** | No limitations | No limitations |
| **Structure of Investment:** | Special purpose vehicles can be used as intermediary for holdings by accredited investors, but not non-accredited investors | Special purpose vehicles can be used as intermediary for holdings by accredited investors |
| **Disclosure:** | Private Placement Memorandum typically used although not required if all investors are accredited; online offerings typically more flexible | Private Placement Memorandum typically used, but disclosure is driven by market demands and liability concerns |
| **Use of offering materials outside of mandated disclosure.** | Mandated disclosure only if non-accredited investors involved (but same disclosure should be given to all investors | Not required |
| **Financial Statements:** | Financial statements required if non-accredited investors: Offerings to $2m: audited balance sheet Offerings to $7.5m: f/s for smaller reporting companies, audited unless unreasonable expense (in which case balance sheet must be audited) Offerings above $7.5m: f/s mandated for reporting companies, audited unless | Not required |
| **SEC Review:** | No review by SEC | No review by SEC |
| **Ongoing Disclosure:** | None | None |
| **Liability:** | Liability under general Section 17/Rule 10b-5 anti-fraud provisions for any person making untrue statements; state law liability; potential “willful participant” liability for intermediary | Liability under general Section 17/Rule 10b-5 anti-fraud provisions for any person making untrue statements; state law liability; potential “willful participant” liability for intermediary |
| **Register under 34 Act** | Registration required if 2,000 holders of record of equity securities or 500 non-accredited holders | Registration required if 2,000 holders of record of equity securities |
| **Intermediaries:** | Intermediaries not required; any intermediaries used must be registered broker dealers or entities exempt from B/D registration (such as VC Funds or bulletin boards) | Intermediaries not required; any intermediaries used must be registered broker dealers or entities exempt from B/D registration (such as VC Funds or bulletin boards) |
| **“Bad Actor” Rules:** | Offering cannot be made if “Bad Actor” involved; issuer must take “reasonable care” to exclude Bad Actors, may use questionnaires | Offering cannot be made if “Bad Actor” involved; issuer must take “reasonable care” to exclude Bad Actors, may use questionnaires |

***Three Important Distinctions between Regulation D Rule 506(b) and Regulation D Rule 506(c)***

1. **Information**

If all the investors are accredited, there is no difference between Rule 506(b) and Rule 506(c). If there is even one non-accredited investor in a Rule 506(b) offering the issuer must provide a lot more information.

1. **Advertising**

In a Rule 506(b) offering you can advertise only the brand, however in a Rule 506(c) offering you can advertise the deal. An issuer undertaking a 506(b) offering can use their website attracts investors who sign up and go through a know your customer process following SEC guidelines. This involves having the investor complete questionnaires, speaking with the investor on the phone a couple times, learning about his or her experience and knowledge investing – in essence developing a relationship. Then, and only then, can should the issuer show the investor actual investments.

In contrast, a website offering investments under Rule 506(c) can show actual investments to everyone visiting the website.

1. **Accredited Investor Verification**

In a Rule 506(b) offering, the issuer may take the investor’s word that he, she, or it is accredited, unless the issuer has reason to believe the investor is lying. In a Rule 506(c) offering, the issuer must take reasonable steps to verify that every investor is accredited. The Securities and Exchange Commission’s regulations allow an issuer to rely on primary documents from an investor like tax returns, brokerage statements, or W-2s, but they also allow the issuer to rely on a letter from the investor’s lawyer, accountant or broker. I do not recommend to my clients that they handle the verification process, but rather than they obtain a certification letter from the investor’s lawyer, accountant or broker. Alternatively, issuers can use a third party service such as VerifyInvestor.
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